University of Michigan Winter 2020 Individual Response Report EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Nicole Hamilton

52 out of 145 students responded to this evaluation.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct

Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
 - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
 - Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
 - Frequently
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Heavier
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - No Effect
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - Allowing us to watch lectures whenever we wanted works well.
- What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - Personal motivation was hard and staying on top of my coursework.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Frequently
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Frequently
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Neutral
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 2
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - o 5

- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Strongly Disagree
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Strongly Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
 - Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
 - 0 2
- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - The instruction was generally good and easy to follow.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - I think the fact that Hamilton is NRA certified isolated a lot of students.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - I like the fact that she went slowly.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - · I think its good!
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - ENGR 101

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) ⋄ 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Neutral		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Occasionally		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Neutral		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • Dry lectures. Some of the project specs were very very hard to understand (project 4 api).		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Neutral		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) N/A		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 3
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • rapid fire office hours		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • starting projects as soon as possible		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 3
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - appeal to more eecs183 students
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - o tone down euchre a bit
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct **Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891) Typical 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) Very Negatively Affected 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)

- Lecture recordings were useful to be able to learn the material at my own pace
- What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - Remote exams were very difficult for me as I was in a weird environment for test taking and was almost more stressed about turning in the exams correctly than taking them.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Strongly Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Almost Always
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Strongly Agree
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 2
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - N/A
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Agree
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Strongly Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
 - Strongly Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
 - 2

- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - The instructors were very knowledgeable and explained things well
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - I thought it was inclusive of everyone
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - The projects were very interesting and I felt like I did something real when I finished them
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - The exam questions seem entirely different than the labs and projects
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - AP Comp Sci gave me the best introduction to memory structures and recursion

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Lighter		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • virtual office hours. SCREEN SHARING WAS HUGE.		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • I couldn't meet up with my partner for projects.		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) ⋄ N/A		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ○ N/A		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) ⋄ N/A		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) N/A		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) N/A		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • N/A		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) N/A		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ N/A		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

N/A

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

- the lecture notes were very useful.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - Not sure how to answer this.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - office hours. being able to partner up and learn how to code with another person. Its very frustrating but extremely important.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - provide more incentive for going to class. I went to Beaumont's class but I really learned the subject on my own.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - 183. it was the intro. calc 2 prepared me for studying for the exams because those were much harder than 183 exams.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Neutral		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Neutral		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Sometimes		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Neutral		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Neutral		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Sometimes		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Neutral		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 3		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Neutral		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Neutral		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 3		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 3
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Sometimes		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct **Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) [No Response] 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) [No Response] 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) [No Response] 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) [No Response] 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • [No Response] 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891) [No Response] 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) [No Response] 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response] 9. What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) [No Response] 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) [No Response] 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) [No Response] 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) [No Response] 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) [No Response] 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. [No Response] 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) [No Response] 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) [No Response] 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) [No Response] 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • [No Response] 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) [No Response] 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) [No Response] 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

- [No Response]
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) Asynchronous interaction worked significantly better than live stream.		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • Managing being sick and working through all of my classes.		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ◆ Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - · It was very good.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - Be more open about the lack of diversity in the program.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - The projects.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - offer more help to get machines set up it was a confusing process.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - · EECS 183 it was a prerequisite.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Lighter		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • lab		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • internet		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ◆ Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - excellent
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - encourage discussion
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - medium
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - o no idea
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - 280 interesting

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Neutral
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Sometimes
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) N/A
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

- N/A
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - Promote collaboration among students
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - The laboratory
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Neutral		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • idk		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) o motivation and time management		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 3		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) 3		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

0 2

- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - · i stopped listening to hamilton lectues and went to beaumont bc i found them more interactive
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - hamilton does good w this
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - this class stressed me out, good lab people i guess ??
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - o more help in dude OH
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - o i guess ENG150 bc it tought me c++

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 4
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Lighter
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Positively Affected
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree Comments:
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always Comments:
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always Comments:
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree Comments:
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree

- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - 0
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • Discussion	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) o motivation overloaded by work	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 1	

- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) ⋄ 1	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) N/A		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ⋄ N/A		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) N/A		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Neutral
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • The online lectures were pretty well handled.
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • Paying attention in lecture, as now I had more distractions due to using my computer.
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Agree
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

• The course was pretty well structured. Projects were challenging and required a decent amount of time to do well on them, but were not impossible. I do think lectures could be a bit better, especially with engagement. Coming from EECS183, we had iClicker polls that were fairly easy and didn't count much towards our grade, but it really helped.

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

I think it is very inclusive as of right now. People of different demographics are encouraged to go into the CS path.

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

 The course expectations were very detailed and the course offers a breadth of different opportunities for students to get help.

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

Perhaps have zybooks assignments like EECS18; these were helpful but not too hard to make them annoying.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

• EECS183. Pretty much an intro course to take before 280.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • Projects		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • [No Response]		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) ⋄ 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Sometimes	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Neutral	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 4	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Neutral	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
 - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
 - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
 - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Typical
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - No Effect
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - The online lectures worked surprisingly well. I felt that they were definitely on par with watching recordings.
- 9. What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - I had a more difficult time managing my time for projects— for project 4, I was preoccupied with dorm—related issues so I
 was scrambling to finish work for other classes and started project 4 late. For project 5, I didn't do a good job managing my
 time again and didn't allocate enough time so I could start the project earlier.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Disagree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Occasionally
 - Comments: I often found it hard to understand concepts that I had never learned before. I understood material better in
 other lectures when the instructor had some more interactive exercises, such as iClicker/poll questions or "try
 programming this" activities. I think it could benefit the class a lot if Professor Hamilton thought about including this.
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Agree
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 1
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - 0
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Agree
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Strongly Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)

- Strongly Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
 - 0 2
- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - I could tell that Professor Hamilton knew her stuff but I often had a difficult time following her explanations. If I were to describe her teaching style to someone else, I would say that she seems to expect or believe that you already know much of the content and will gloss over more of the basics and use her experience in the industry to talk about it in depth. However, it was difficult for me to follow the in–depth discussions because I was still shaky on the basics. I think Professor Hamilton would be a very interesting lecturer if I had more background in the topic, but she can be a little confusing otherwise.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - I really enjoyed the projects and I also felt that I understood more about the topics after we did them.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - As someone who struggles with theory but doesn't realize it until getting an unexpected exam grade, I would like to have
 some more multiple choice practice throughout the semester. I felt like the labs were very light in workload, so I think
 having weekly multiple choice quizzes could be helpful in making sure we also understand how the code works instead of
 just guessing—and—checking until it works. I wouldn't have wanted it to affect my grade, but it would be extremely helpful to
 have some more multiple choice questions that I could check my understanding with outside of lecture.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - EECS 183 was an excellent course for preparing to take 280. I learned to code in C++ and I was able to get a better grasp on other programming concepts and more theoretical aspects of programming.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • Office hours queue was great	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) Nothing honestly	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 1	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

- o 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - Amazing
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - Nothing, great class
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Everything office hours were amazing
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - nothing
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - Engr151 gave me knowledge of vectors and recursion when other classes didn't

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected
8.	 What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) having recordings of the lectures works great for me. the way i like to take notes takes a bit more time, so its nice to be able to pause and catch up
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • motivation
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 3
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • N/A
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Disagree
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2

- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - very quality, nicole hamilton did a great job, answered all questions and answered them very clearly
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - o idk man
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - everything just made sense, the labs were helpful and usually corresponded to work that would be done on the projects, the projects were difficult, but ultimately helped to reinforce things i had already learned
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - none really
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - engr 101, never programmed before that class

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 2		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect
8.	 What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) 280 was one of the easiest classes (for me) in transitioning online. Before the move to online, I watched the lectures online anyway, so this didn't make much of a difference.
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Agree
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree

20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

o 2

21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

0 2

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

 For how large of a course 280 is, this is the most organized and well-run course I have taken here. Keep up the great work!

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

I don't think the class climate would change, but I think being more inclusive to all students is always a good thing!

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

• Instructors knew the material inside and out and could answer any question. Piazza was extremely helpful, being able to post a question and receiving an answer in usually less than 30 min. Projects 2–5 were interesting (this might be a controversial opinion, but I really liked project 3).

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

Merge some of the smaller lectures (container adt 1 and 2, iterators and functors, the first two lectures, exceptions and
containers of pointers, dynamic memory and managing dynamic memory). I think the amount of content per lecture varies
quite a lot in 280, and merging many of these smaller topics into fewer more content—rich lectures will keep students more
engaged and also free up a few more lectures to talk about more data structures / concepts that are directly relevant to 281.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

• I took ENG 151, and I'd say it was a pretty good preparation. Most of 151 focused on Matlab (which I was not a fan of nor was useful for 280), but the C++ portion of 151 was a good preparation for 280. I'd say (except for pointers) the first ~6–7 lectures seemed like a review from 151.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Neutral		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Neutral		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 2	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Neutral	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	urse Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct tructor Name: Nicole Hamilton
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • videoconferencing
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • personal motivation
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Neutral
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

- o 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - Verv high
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - o n/a
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Project based learning
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - Not many
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - Not really many

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) > Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 5	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

• 3

- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Labs did a great job on helping me understand concepts that gave me the ability to complete projects.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • Piazza posts, recorded lectures	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • sometimes internet connectivity, but otherwise I did not experience much difficulty in remote learning for this course.	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

0 2

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

- Project support in OH was great, as well as quick response on Piazza. But in general, the projects themselves were very
 well crafted and the specifications were not too vague. I really enjoyed working on some of these projects (namely euchre
 and machine learning), and the lecture notes as well as lab code really made a difference in my ability to do well on these
 projects.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - N/A
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Project support, detailed lecture notes, guided lab work
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - Having some weekly assignment aside from labs to encourage students to study the content more throughout the week.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - EECS 101 did a good job teaching the fundamentals of programming that I should have used (but forgot most of it because I took it two years before taking this class).

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • We didn't have any activity that engaged with the class or the material; all we had were labs and projects which was very difficult.		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 4		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Neutral		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		

- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0 3
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - engineering 101 was not effective in preparing me for this course, as it did not give me a strong foundation in c++ and I felt as though I had a disadvantage coming into this class compared to other students.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Sometimes		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Neutral		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Neutral		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) N/A		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • N/A		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) N/A		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • N/A		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct

Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
 - Strongly Agree
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
 - Strongly Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
 - Almost Always
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Heavier
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - No Effect
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - The online lectures still worked well. Professor Hamilton was always willing to slow down and answer questions, which was helpful.
- What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - One challenge I had with this class was focusing during lectures. Because it was remote, I sometimes felt less engaged
 with the material as I might be in class. But the resources, such as practice exams, helped me practice the material, which
 helped me recognize areas I might have missed in lecture so I could then go back and review.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Strongly Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Almost Always
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Strongly Agree
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 0 1
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - N/A
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Strongly Agree
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Strongly Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
 - Strongly Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

1

21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

0 1

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

 This course was taught very well. Lectures were taught well because for every new concept, they always provided one or more examples to demonstrate how I would actually code with that new information. Additionally, the labs complemented what we were learning to aid in my understanding.

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

• I think the coaching groups this semester was a great addition because it was a nice was to find a group to collaborate with in the class. Outside of continuing this, I would recommend for the first few projects having a slideshow presentation or a google document with tips on how to approach them. I think for people with little coding background it could be overwhelming to start such large projects, so having more tricks to break the sections down more might be helpful.

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

 The instructors are an asset of this course. Professor Nicole Hamilton and all the IAs were extremely approachable and willing to help. Additionally, the exam prep worksheets, the labs, and the practice exams were all useful resources that enabled me to practice the information I gained in lecture.

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

• I would recommend having the IAs for lab sections be allowed create a google document that they could share with those attending their sections with the exam preparation worksheet and the solutions the group generated together. I often felt that I was rushing to write down the answers for these worksheets (since they aren't available on the course website) instead of focusing on understanding the concepts and tips my IA was discussing.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

EECS 183 was the most effective course because before that class I had not programmed, so it gave me the skills in C++
that I needed to succeed on projects and labs in this class.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton	
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Strongly Agree
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently

- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Typical
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - Somewhat Negatively Affected
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - The online lectures didn't differ too much from the ones that were being done in person, sans a couple less questions being asked, but more or less ran the same, and were just as comprehensive
- 9. What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - for assignments, not being able to directly collaborate with my partner and work on our code side by side, and run our ideas by eachother, or see whats happening on their machine, made it a lot harder to do work efficiently because all communication felt like it was being done through a barrier.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Strongly Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Almost Always
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Strongly Agree
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 0 2
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - 0 5
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Neutral
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Strongly Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
 - Strongly Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

0 2

21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

0 2

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

• I think Professor Hamilton did a great job witth her instruction for this course, every lecture was comprehensive and understandable, and always made sure to answer, and even encourage, questions to make sure that the comprehension of the class was as good as it could be, and made the information enjoyable and interesting.

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

 I never really encountered or saw a situation in which this class wasn't being inclusive towards any type of student, the community always seemed very collaborative and welcoming from what I could see.

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

• I think lecture was really valuable to actually learning the material, and then lab did a great job of taking what we learned in lecture, and assessing if we understood that, plus just a little more, which then led into the projects which assessed how we could apply what we learned in class, and so everything had a nice flow and was very conducive to learning.

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

• I think maybe a little more in depth exam review session could help sharpen the skills of students before their exams.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

 EECS 183 was by far the most relevant and helpful as it directly led into the concepts that we would pick up and learn in this class

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) • Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 2		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) ⋄ N/A		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ⋄ N/A		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) N/A		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) N/A		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Disagree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Disagree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Negatively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Sometimes		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Disagree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 3		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 4		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) 4		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Disagree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Disagree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 2		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Strongly Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Heavier		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) Asynchronous interaction worked well after it went online.		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • Office hour overflow before the class went online.		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ◆ Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) ⋄ [No Response]		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

- Very strong especially the note abbreviations.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - Office hours being completely online in the future would help those who cannot be on north campus in the afternoons.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Its rigor and the critical thinking skills it requires.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - Keep the office hours completely online it is far more efficient than they were previously.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - AP CS in high school was my only pre-req

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) • Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) • Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Very Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • Videoconferencing.		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • Remote learning was pretty seamless.		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) • Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) • Frequently		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) • Frequently		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 1		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 1		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) Strongly Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) ⋄ 1		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- o 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - Great
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - I am not sure.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - Breadth of topics.
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - I'm not sure.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - EECS 183.

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Agree		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Agree		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) • Frequently		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Agree		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) • Agree		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) ⋄ Almost Always		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ◆ Almost Always		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) • Disagree		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Disagree		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Agree		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) 2		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 0 2
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

	Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Neutral		
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Neutral		
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Sometimes		
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) • Neutral		
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Neutral		
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Typical		
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • Somewhat Positively Affected		
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • [No Response]		
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]		
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Neutral		
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) o Sometimes		
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Sometimes		
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Neutral		
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]		
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 3		
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) 3		
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Neutral		
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) • Neutral		
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • Neutral		
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) 3		
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)		

- 3
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct

Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

- 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)
 - Strongly Agree
 - · Comments: Significantly.
- 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)
 - Agree
- 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)
 - Sometimes
 - Comments: That's pretty difficult to do in a class designed on projects that solve problems you've never seen before.
- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Neutral
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Heavier
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - No Effect
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - I really appreciate that Professor Beaumont showed video of himself presenting the lectures, it is far more engaging than
 just a voice and screen share.
- 9. What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - I stopped going to office hours.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - N/A
 - Comments: I attended Beaumont's lectures. He is an excellent teacher.
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - N/A
 - Comments: I attended Beaumont's lectures, he was almost always prepared.
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - N/A
 - Comments: I attended Beaumont's lectures, he frequently explained material clearly
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - N/A
 - Comments: I attended Beaumont's lectures, He always treated students with respect.
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 0 3
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - N/A
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Neutral
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)

Strongly Agree

19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)

Strongly Agree

20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

0 2

21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

0 3

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

• The instruction was good in this class. If I didn't tune out so much of the time it would probably be very good.

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

 Having a group of IAs with diverse personalities and perspectives helps make classes feel more inclusive. Asking students what their names are during office hours could make the class seem more inclusive and humanized, which is kind of missing since it's a 1200 person class.

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

• I like the poll questions in lectures. The lecture notes on the website are what saved my first midterm, I read over them over spring break and a lot of things made sense for the first time.

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

My own experience with office hours was negative. I only went for help debugging and quickly realized that office hours
were much more suited towards explaining basic concepts than programming help. I found no matter whose office hours I
went to (including private messages on piazza) I was met with skepticism when I explained the problem and what I thought
the root cause was.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

• ENGR101 is the only coding class I've taken, and it was pretty good in preparation. I think there was enough time in 101 to have introduced pointers at a very basic level and that may have helped.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)

• Strongly Agree

2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)

• Strongly Agree

3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)

• Frequently

- 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)
 - Strongly Agree
- 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)
 - Strongly Agree
- 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)
 - Heavier
- 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840)
 - Somewhat Positively Affected
- 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)
 - Video lectures helped, we used BlueJeans which allowed us to type questions in the chat (I felt that this feature was helpful). Office hours being held online too actually made it easier for me to attend them instead of having to travel to a library and set up my computer at a table.
- What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)
 - My greatest challenge was finding quiet places to study since there are 4 people in the house that I live in.
- 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)
 - Strongly Agree
- 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)
 - Almost Always
- 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)
 - Frequently
- 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)
 - Strongly Agree
- 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.
 - [No Response]
- 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)
 - 0 2
- 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)
 - N/A
- 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)
 - Agree
- 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)
 - Agree
- 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)
 - Agree
- 20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)
 - 0 2

21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)

3

22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

• The quality of instruction was pretty good. I assume because I'm new to computer science (no prior experience except 183) it was somewhat difficult to grasp some of the material. The most useful materials in this course for me were the lecture notes that further explained the materials and the projects.

23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)

• I'm not sure, I felt that the climate was pretty inclusive.

24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)

Holding office hours from 2–8 in the afternoons most days was helpful (easier to remember and easy to attend). I also felt
that the lab instruction was helpful along with being able to ask the lab gsi any questions we had while working.

25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)

• Later in the course they implemented these study groups which I took advantage of. I felt that these were extremely, if not the most, helpful thing in improving my understanding and I wish they had offered these in the beginning of the course. We went over test questions and I felt that by working through test questions in a smaller group it was easier for me to identify places that I struggled and pushed me to ask questions where in lecture I probably wouldn't know exactly where I struggled or didn't ask questions (didn't feel comfortable to do so in a larger group setting). Also on the projects I found that it was somewhat difficult to manage the time I had. If there was a general layout of the intended amount of time each portion of a project took or a short timeline of which parts of a project would take longer or be the shortest would've helped me in planning out my weeks working on them.

26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)

• I've only taken EECS 183 and I'm currently taking EECS 203. I'd say 183 was very helpful and 280 picked up right where 183 left off (the transition was fairly smooth). I didn't see much connection between 203 and 280 for most of the year except near the end when talking about the efficiency of a program.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct **Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton** 1. This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree 2. My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree 3. I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always 4. Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree 5. I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree 6. As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891) Typical 7. How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) Very Negatively Affected 8. What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) o Online Videos. Office Hours 9. What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) I lived in a very noisy environment and was embarrassed to go and talk to any IA's vocally and I think it hurt me in my motivation to go to office hours. 10. Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) Strongly Agree 11. Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) Almost Always 12. Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) Almost Always 13. Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) Strongly Agree 14. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. [No Response] 15. Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) 16. The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) 17. The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) Strongly Agree 18. Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336) Strongly Agree 19. Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)

Strongly Agree

1

20. I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)

- 21. I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)
 - 0 1
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - · It was good.
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - · I do not think much needs to be added.
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - · The projects
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - · Make the labs more challenging.
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - Although I was taking EECS 203 at the same time as this course I wanted to comment that this was probably the worse course I have ever taken in my entire college career so far. This class did not help me at all in terms of my approach to problem solving and really hurt my confidence in my ability to solve problems. If I did not take this class at the same time as 280. I would have been much more academically proficient. 280 was an amazing course that could've been perfect, had I not taken 203 with it.

Course Name: EECS 280-005: Prog&Data Struct Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton		
1.	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631) Strongly Agree	
2.	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632) Strongly Agree	
3.	I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633) Almost Always	
4.	Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1) Strongly Agree	
5.	I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4) Strongly Agree	
6.	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was(Q891) • Much Heavier	
7.	How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (Q1840) • No Effect	
8.	What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841) • I liked the helpful supplemental notes they gave you.	
9.	What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842) • [No Response]	
10.	Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2) N/A	
11.	Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230) ⋄ N/A	
12.	Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199) ⋄ N/A	
13.	Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217) N/A	
14.	Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. • [No Response]	
15.	Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61) • 2	
16.	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64) • 3	
17.	The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331) N/A	
18.	Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)	
19.	Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337) • N/A	
20.	I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769) • [No Response]	
21.	I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)	

- [No Response]
- 22. Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)
 - [No Response]
- 23. How might the class climate be made more inclusive of diverse students? (Q910)
 - [No Response]
- 24. What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)
 - [No Response]
- 25. What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)
 - [No Response]
- 26. Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)
 - EECS 183 was the closest and only computer science class.